

Design And Analysis of Experiment

Advanced Topics in Systems Performance Evaluation

Professors: Paulo Maciel/Ricardo Massa

Group 1

Eric Borba erb@cin.ufpe.br Erico Guedes eacg@cin.ufpe.br Jonas Pontes jcnp@cin.ufpe.br

2015



UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE PERNAMBUCO

Cln.ufpe.



- Preliminaries
 - Definition
 - Terminology
- Types of Experiment Design
 - One Factor Experiments
 - Two Factor Factorial Experiments
 - 2^k Factorial Designs
 - 2^{kr} Factorial Designs
 - 2^(k-p) Fractional Factorial Designs



The first ninety percent of the task takes ten percent of the time, and the last ten percent takes the other ninety percent.

-Ninety-ninety rule of project schedules



"Almost all science

successes were preceded

by failures."



Cln.ufpe. br



Experience

Χ

Experiment

CIn.ufpe. 5



• Thomas Edison

 More than 1150 failed experiments before functional lamp



6

Cln.ufpe.

br



 Observing a system or process while it is in operation is an important part of the learning process, and is an integral part of understanding and learning about how systems and processes work.



• The goal of a proper experimental design is to obtain the maximum information with the minimum number of the experiments.



- Understand cause-and-effect
 - "Throughout the book I have stressed the importance of experimental design as a tool for engineers and scientists to use for product design and development as well as process development and improvement."





- Understand cause-and-effect
 - Scientific Knowledge:
 - Aiming to connect each effect to one cause in order to obtain

some possibility to do safe predictions related to future events.





10

Cln.ufpe

br



- Definition: Experiment Design
 - It is a systematic method to determine the relationship between factors affecting a process and the <u>output</u> of that process.
 - it is used to find cause-and-effect relationships
 - this information is needed to manage process inputs in order

to optimize the output



• What is an experiment?

 a test or series of runs in which purposeful changes are made to the input variables of a process or system so that we may observe and identify the reasons for changes that may be observed in the output response.

br







• Design of Experiment Terminology



14





FIGURE 16.1 Graphical presentation of interacting and noninteracting factors.

15 Cln.ufpe. br





Performed simultaneously or in reverse order

3. Choice of factors, levels and range

• Together, steps 1, 2, and 3 are called pre-experimental planning

16

Cln.ufpe

br



Guidelines for Design Experiments(2/3)





• Guidelines for Design Experiments(3/3)



18 Cln.ufpe. br



- Preliminaries
 - Definition
 - Terminology
- Types of Experiment Design
 - One Factor Experiments
 - Two Factor Factorial Experiments
 - 2^k Factorial Designs
 - 2^{kr} Factorial Designs
 - 2^(k-p) Fractional Factorial Designs



• Simple Design

• Full Factorial Design

• Fractional Factorial Design



Simple Designs

- One Factor at a Time (OFAT)
 - The major disadvantage of the OFAT strategy is that it fails to consider any possible interaction between the factors
 - If the factors have interaction, this design may lead to wrong conclusions.
- Number of experiments(*n*) for a simple design:

$$n=1+\sum_{i=1}^k (n_i-1)$$

Simple Designs

• One Factor at a Time (OFAT)

The problem is to design a personal workstation, where several choices have to be made. First, a microprocessor has to be chosen for the CPU. The alternatives are the 68000, Z80, or 8086 microprocessor. Second, a memory size of 512 kbytes, 2 Mbytes, or 8 Mbytes has to be chosen. Third, the workstation could have one, two, three, or four disk drives. Fourth, the workload on the workstations could be one of three types—secretarial, managerial, or scientific. Performance also depends on user characteristics, such as whether users are at a high school, college, or postgraduate level.

$$n=1+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(n_{i}-1\right)$$

Full Factorial Design: 2^k

- It uses every possible combination at all levels of all factors.
- Experiments quantity:

$$n=\prod_{i=1}^k n_i$$

- Every possible combination of configuration is examined (factors and interactions).
- Issues: cost and time.
- 3 ways to reduce the number of experiments: levels, factors or fractional factorial designs.

Fractional Factorial Designs(2^{k-p})

- Sometimes the number of experiments is too large.
- In such cases, it is possible to use only a fraction of the factorial

Example 16.1 Consider only four of the five factors in the workstation study. Let us ignore the number of disk drives for this example. We have four factors, each at three levels. Therefore, the number of experiments required is

n = (3 CPUs)(3 memory levels)(3 workloads)(3 educational levels)

= 81 experiments

Experiment		nent Memory Workload		Educational
Number	CPU	Level	Туре	Level
1	68000	512K	Managerial	High school
2	68000	2M	Scientific	Postgraduate
3	68000	8M	Secretarial	College
4	Z80	512K	Scientific	College
5	Z9D	2M	Secretarial	High school
6	Z80	8M	Managerial	Postgraduate
7	8086	512K	Secretarial	Postgraduate
8	8086	2M	Managerial	College
9	8086	8M	Scientific	High school

TABLE 16.3 A Sample Fractional Factorial Design



Types of Variables: - Categorical (qualitative) - Numerical (quantitative)

• Features

- Used to compare several alternatives of a single categorical variable.
- $\circ~$ There is no number of levels that the factor can take.
 - Unlike the 2^k designs, the number of levels can be more than 2
- \circ Model used

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_j + e_{ij}$$

• Observation, mean response, effect, error term.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{a} y_{ij} = ar\mu + r \sum_{j=1}^{a} \alpha_j + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{a} e_{ij}$$



• Model parameter:

$$\mu = \frac{1}{ar} \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^a y_{ij}$$

Column mean:

$$\overline{y}_{.j} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r} y_{ij}$$

Column effect:

$$\alpha_j = \overline{y}_{.j} - \mu = \overline{y}_{.j} - \overline{y}_{.i}$$



• Model parameter:

$$\mu = \frac{1}{ar} \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^a y_{ij}$$

Column mean:

$$\overline{y}_{.j} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r} y_{ij}$$

Column effect:

$$\alpha_j = \overline{y}_{.j} - \mu = \overline{y}_{.j} - \overline{y}_{.i}$$



R	V	Z
144	101	130
120	144	180
176	211	141
288	288	374
144	72	302



Estimating Experimental Errors

• The difference between the measured and the estimated response

represents experimental error.

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^a e_{ij}^2$$

144	101	1307		18	7.7	187.7	187.	7]	[-13.3	-24.5	37.7	
120	144	180		18	7.7	187.7	187.	.7	-13.3	-24.5	37.7	
176	211	141	=	18	7.7	187.7	187.	7 +	-13.3	-24.5	37.7	
288	288	374		18	7.7	187.7	187.	7	-13.3	-24.5	37.7	
144	72	302		18	37.7	187.7	187	7	-13.3	-24.5	37.7	
				ſ	-3	0.4	-62.2	-9	5.47			
					-5	4.4	-19.2	-4	5.4			
			e	+		1.6	47.8	-8	4.4			
					11	3.6	124.8	14	18.6			
				L	-3	0.4	-91.2	7	6.6			

 $SSE = (-30.4)^2 + (-54.4)^2 + ... + (76.6)^2 = 94,365.20$

29 Cln.ufpe. br



Allocation of Variation

• The total variation can be allocated to the factor and errors.

SSY = SS0 + SSA + SSE

- SSY (sum of squares)
- SS0 (sum of squares of grand means),
- SSA (sum of squares of effects)
- $\circ~$ SSE (sum of square errors).

$$\mathrm{SST} = \sum_{i,j} (y_{i,j} - \overline{y}_{..})^2 = \sum_{i,j} y_{ij}^2 - ar \overline{y}_{..}^2 = \mathrm{SSY} - \mathrm{SS0} = \mathrm{SSA} + \mathrm{SSE}$$



Programa

- 1. CTMC Métodos de Análise Estacionária; Textos 6
- 2. CTMC Métodos de Análise Transiente; Textos 6
- 3. Métodos para Análise de Sensibilidade; Texto 10
- 4. Desing and Analysis of Experiment, Textos 1 e 4
- 5. Regressão; Texto 7 e 4

- **···**
- 6. Uma Introdução às Séries Temporais; Texto 8
- Gráficos de Controle Estatísticos (X, R, S, CUSUM, EWMA); Texto 4.





- Analysis of Variance(ANOVA)
 - The partitioning of variation into an
 - explained
 - unexplained

part is useful in practice since it can be easily presented by the analyst to the decision makers

 To determine if a factor has a significant effect on the response, statisticians compare its contribution to the variation with that of the errors.



- Analysis of Variance(ANOVA)
 - What is **ANOVA**?
 - the statistical procedure to analyze the significance os various factors
 - Degree of freedom
 - number of independent values required to compute the sum of squares



• Analysis of Variance(ANOVA)

• Result:

ANOVA Table for One-Factor Experiments

Component	Sum of Squares	Percentage of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square	<i>F</i> -Computed	<i>F</i> - Table
y	$SSY = \sum y_{ij}^2$		ar			
<u>y</u>	$SSO = ar\mu^2$		1			
$y - \overline{y}_{}$	SST = SSY - SSO	100	ar - 1			
Α	$SSA = r \sum \alpha_i^2$	$100\left(\frac{SSA}{SST}\right)$	a - 1	$MSA = \frac{SSA}{a-1}$	MSA MSE	$F_{[1-\pm; a-1, a(r-1)]}$
е	SSE = SST - SSA	$100\left(\frac{SSE}{SST}\right)$	<i>a</i> (<i>r</i> - 1)	$MSE = \frac{SSE}{a(r-1)}$		

br

Cln.ufpe

i **One Factor Experiments Confidence Intervals for Effects**

Parameter Estimation from One-Factor Experiments

Parameter	Estimate	Variance
μ	<u>y</u>	se/ar
α_j	$\overline{y}_{.j} - \overline{y}_{}$	$s_e^2(a-1)/ar$
$\mu + \alpha_j$	<u>y</u>	se/r
$\sum_{j=1}^{a}h_{j}\alpha_{j},$		
$\sum_{j=1}^{a} h_j = 0$	$\sum_{i=1}^{a} h_i \overline{y}_{i}$	$\sum_{i=1}^{a} s_e^2 h_j^2 / ar$
se ²	$\sum e_{ij}^2/[a(r-1)]$	

Two Factor Factorial Experiments

- Used when there are two parameters that are carefully controlled and varied to study their impact on the performance.
- Model used:

 $y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_j + \beta_i + e_{ij}$

 Observation, mean response, effect of factor A at level j, effect of factor B at level i, and error term.

Two Factor Factorial Experiments

• The values of model parameters are computed such that the error has a zero mean. Sum of each column and each row is zero.

$$\overline{y}_{,j} = \mu + \alpha_j + \frac{1}{b} \sum_i \beta_i + \frac{1}{b} \sum_i e_{ij}$$

$$\overline{y}_{,j} = \mu + \alpha_j$$

$$\overline{y}_{i.} = \mu + \beta_i$$

$$\overline{y}_{..} = \mu$$



TABLE 21.2 Computation of Effects for the Cache Comparison Study

			Row	Row	Row
Two Caches	One Cache	No Cache	Sum	Mean	Effect
54.0	55.0	126.0	215.0	71.7	-0.5
60.0	60.0	123.0	243.0	81.0	8.8
43.0	43.0	120.0	206.0	68.7	-3.5
49.0	52.0	111.0	212.0	70.7	-1.5
49.0	50.0	128.0	207.0	69.0	-3.2
255.0	260.0	568.0	1283.0		
51.0	52.0	113.6		72.2	
-21.2	-20.2	41.4			
	Two Caches 54.0 60.0 43.0 49.0 49.0 255.0 51.0 -21.2	Two Caches One Cache 54.0 55.0 60.0 60.0 43.0 43.0 49.0 52.0 49.0 50.0 255.0 260.0 51.0 52.0 -21.2 -20.2	Two CachesOne CacheNo Cache54.055.0126.060.060.0123.043.043.0120.049.052.0111.049.050.0128.0255.0260.0568.051.052.0113.6-21.2-20.241.4	Two CachesOne CacheNo CacheRow Sum54.055.0126.0215.060.060.0123.0243.043.043.0120.0206.049.052.0111.0212.049.050.0128.0207.0255.0260.0568.01283.051.052.0113.6-21.2-20.241.4	Two CachesOne CacheNo CacheRow SumRow Mean54.055.0126.0215.071.760.060.0123.0243.081.043.043.0120.0206.068.749.052.0111.0212.070.749.050.0128.0207.069.0255.0260.0568.01283.051.052.0113.672.2-21.2-20.241.472.2

Two Factor Factorial Experiments Estimating Experimental Errors

$$\hat{y}_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_j + \beta_i$$

$$e_{ij} = y_{ij} - \hat{y}_{ij} = y_{ij} - \mu - \alpha_j - \beta_i$$

TABLE 21.3 Error Computation for the Cache Comparison Study

Workload	Two Caches	One Cache	No Cache
ASM	3.5	3.5	-7.1
TECO	0.2	-0.8	0.6
SIEVE	-4.5	-5.5	9.9
DHRYSTONE	-0.5	1.5	-1.1
SORT	1.2	1.2	-2.4

The measured processor time is 54 milliseconds. The difference 54 - 50.5 = 3.5 is the error.

 $SSE = (3.5)^2 + (0.2)^2 + ... + (-2.4)^2 = 2368.00$



SSY = SS0 + SSA + SSB + SSE

The total variation (SST)

SST = SSY - SS0 = SSA + SSB + SSE

Example 21.3 For the cache comparison study of Example 21.1, the sums of squares are

40 Cln.ufpe. br

Two Factor Factorial Experiments

Analysis of Variance

 To statistically test the significance of a factor, we divide the sum of squares by their corresponding degrees of freedom to get mean squares.



Openstack Scheduler Evaluation using Design of Experiment Approach

Oleg Litvinski and Abdelouahed Gherbi Department of Software and IT Engineering École de technologie supérieure {oleg.litvinski.1, abdelouahed.gherbi} @etsmtl.ca Montreal, Canada

Two Factor Factorial Experiments

Article

TABLE I.

TERMS AND DEFINITION

	Desc	ription	ription			
Name	Details	Min	Mean	Max		
VRAM	Amount of RAM allocated to VM (GB)	0.5	4	8	Gen	
VCPU Number of CPU allocated to VM		0	2	4	equest	
SRAM small	Amount of RAM available on Physical Node with 4GB (GB)	0	2	4		
SRAM large	Amount of RAM available on Physical Node with 8GB (GB)	0	4	8	Load G	
SCPU small	Number of CPU available on Physical Node with 2 core	0	1	2	enerator	
SCPU large	Number of CPU available on Physical Node with 4 core	0	2	4		
RAM small	Amount of RAM available on Node with 4GB (GB)	XX Y.	ŝ	395	8	
RAM large	Amount of RAM available on Node with 8GB (GB)		cheduler	Observe		
CPU small	Number of CPU available on Node with 2 core		cheuulei	COSCIVE	1	
CPU large	Number of CPU available on Node with 4 core					

TABLE II. ANOVA SUMMARY FOR NUMBER OF CPU.

		Adjuste	d Model		
Name	F-w	ulue	p-value		
1200200	CPU small	CPU large	CPU small	CPU large	
Model	2.9702	5.0023	0.0513	0.0092	
Curvature	0.5940	0.9166	0.4558	0.3589	
Lack of Fit	0.4318	0.1363	0.8558	0.9890	
50 50		Unadjus	sted Model		
23	F-w	ulue	p-value		
	CPU small	CPU large	CPU small	CPU large	
Model	3.0660	5.0373	0.0427	0.0073	
Lack of Fit	0.4227	0.1590	0.8677	0.9863	

Two Factor Factorial Experiments

		Adjusted Model				
N		F-v	alue	p-value		
Name		RAM small	RAM large	RAM small	RAM large	
	Filter	5.2254	5.2000	0.0076	0.0091	
Model	Chance	7.1828	8.6254	0.0036	0.0013	
	Simple	2.0528	7.1309	0.1497	0.0028	
Current	Filter	6.6414	8.9948	0.0230	0.0134	
Curvat	Chance	9.1041	21.9409	0.0145	0.0009	
ure	Simple	0.0303	16.1281	0.8641	0.0025	
	Filter	1.2784	0.7457	0.4695	0.6639	
Lack	Chance	1.7835	0.3503	0.3397	0.8857	
of Fit	Simple	0.7722	11.0901	0.6789	0.0369	
	6. 20 A	8 5	Unadj	usted Model	9).	
		F-1	alue	p-value		
		RAM small	RAM large	RAM small	RAM large	
	Filter	3.7245	3.0113	0.0236	0.0469	
Model	Chance	3.9675	2.9704	0.0213	0.0489	
	Simple	2.1852	3.0021	0.1296	0.0473	
	Filter	1.8953	1.5767	0.3276	0.3865	
Lack	Chance	3.5086	1.8018	0.1651	0.3408	
orrit	Simple	0.7147	25,9591	0.7126	0.0109	

TABLE III. ANOVA SUMMARY FOR AMOUNT OF MEMORY.

TABLE V. IMPORTANCE OF FACTORS AND ITS COMBINATION IN SCHEDULERS CHOICE OF NODE

CPU small	CPU large	CPU RAM small I			PU RAM small RAM lar		4M large	
All Scheduler		Filer	Chance	Simple	Filter	Chance	Simple	
A	A	A	A	А	А	A	A	
в	в	В	В	в	В	в	в	
С	С	Е	С	BF	D	D	D	
Е	E	AB	E		F	E	F	
AB	F	AE	F		AB	F	AB	
AE	AC	i.	AB		AF	AE	AD	
	BF		AF		BD	BD	BD	
			BF		BF	BF		
	1		ABF					

Keys: A -VRAM, B- VCPU, C - SCPU small, D - SCPU large, E - SRAM small, F -SRAM large.



- Used to determine the effect of k factors (with two alternatives or levels).
- Easy to analyse and helps in sorting factors in the order of impact.
- With a large number of factors and levels may not be the best use of available effort
- The first step should be to reduce the number of factors and choose those that have significant impact.



TABLE 17.1 Performance in MIPS

Cache Size (kbytes)	Memory Size 4 Mbytes	Memory Size 16 Mbytes
1	15	45
2	25	75

Nonlinear Regression Model $y = q_0 + q_A x_A + q_B x_B + q_{AB} x_A x_B$



TABLE 17.2 Analysis of a 2² Design

Experiment	A	В	у
1	-1	-1	y ¹
2	1	-1	y^2
3	-1	1	y^3
4	1	1	y ⁴

2^k Factorial Designs Other Way: General

 $y_{1} = q_{0} - q_{A} - q_{B} + q_{AB}$ $y_{2} = q_{0} + q_{A} - q_{B} - q_{AB}$ $y_{3} = q_{0} - q_{A} + q_{B} - q_{AB}$ $y_{4} = q_{0} + q_{A} + q_{B} + q_{AB}$

		N
		/

 $q_0 = \frac{1}{4}(y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + y_4)$ $q_A = \frac{1}{4}(-y_1 + y_2 - y_3 + y_4)$ $q_B = \frac{1}{4}(-y_1 - y_2 + y_3 + y_4)$ $q_{AB} = \frac{1}{4}(y_1 - y_2 - y_3 + y_4)$



Allocation of Variation

• The importance of a factor is measured by the proportion of the total variation.

Sample variance of
$$y = s_y^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2^4} (y_i - \overline{y})^2}{2^2 - 1}$$

- \overline{y} denotes the mean of responses from all four experiments.
- Sums of Squares Total (SST):

Total variation of
$$y = SST = \sum_{i=1}^{2^2} (y_i - \overline{y})^2$$



Allocation of Variation

• SST also can be represented by:

 $\mathrm{SST} = 2^2 q_A^2 + 2^2 q_B^2 + 2^2 q_{AB}^2$

• Effect of A, B, and interaction AB.

SST = SSA + SSB + SSAB

Fraction of variation explained by $A = \frac{SSA}{SST}$

50

Cln.ufpe

br

• This fraction provides the importance of the factor A.



Going Back To the Example



Using Statistical Design of Experiments for Analyzing Mobile Ad Hoc Networks^{*}

Michael W. Totaro The Center for Advanced Computer Studies University of Louisiana at Lafayette Lafayette, LA 70504-4330

miket@cacs.louisiana.edu

Dmitri D. Perkins The Center for Advanced Computer Studies University of Louisiana at Lafayette Lafayette, LA 70504-4330 perkins@cacs.louisiana.edu



Table 1: Experimental Factors

Label	Factor	Level 1 (-)	Level 2 (+)
1	Avg. neighbors	7 (strongly-connected)	3 (weakly-connected)
2	Avg. node speed	5 m/sec (1-10 m/sec range)	30 m/sec (25-35 m/sec range)
3	Traffic load	10% of no. of nodes	20% of no. of nodes
4	No. of nodes	100	500
5	MAC layer	802.11b w/ RTS	802.11b w/out RTS

2[^]k Factorial Designs

Article

Table 2: Design matrix for 2⁵ factorial design

Factors Performance 2 5 Metrics 4 Design Factor Avg. No. Avg. Node Traffic Number MAC Packet End-to-End Points Level of Speed Load of Layer Delivery Delay Neighbors Nodes (m/s) Ratio (secs) 802.11b (-) 7 5 10% of Number 100 w/ RTS of Nodes 30 20% of Number 500 802.11b (+) 3 of Nodes w/out RTS (-)7(-) 10 (-) 100 802.11 b w/RTS 0.715680.86571101(-) 5 (-) 802.11 b w/RTS 0.115921.276597342 (+) 3 (-) 5 (-) 10 (-) 100 (-) (-) 100 3 (-)7(+) 30 (-)10 (-) 802.11 b w/RTS 0.585680.9923993 2.136517974 (+) 3 (+) 30 (-) 10 (-) 100 (-) 802.11 b w/RTS 0.257760.724840.768396295 (-) 7 (-) 5 (+)20 (-) 100 (-) 802.11 b w/RTS 0.170761.41365995 6 (+) 3 (-) 5 (+)20 (-) 100 (-) 802.11 b w/RTS 7 (-)7(+) 30 (+) 20 (-) 100 (-) 802.11 b w/RTS 0.5630.96332324 8 (+) 3 (+) 30 (+)20 (-) 100 (-) 802.11 b w/RTS 0.245842.19733746 9 (-)7(-) 5(-)50 (+) 500 (-) 802.11 b w/RTS 0.395968 1.49277102 10 (+) 3 (-) 5 (-) 50 (+) 500 (-) 802.11 b w/RTS 0.092656 0.78984261 11 (-)7(+) 30(-) 50 (+) 500 (-) 802.11 b w/RTS 0.2715042.07584805 12 (+) 3(+) 30 (-) 50 (+) 500 (-) 802.11 b w/RTS 0.08344 3.28247314 13 (-)7(-) 5 (+) 100 (+) 500 802.11 b w/RTS 0.330824 5.25921359(-) 14 (+) 100 802.11 b w/RTS 0.099736 1.04019082(+) 3 (-) 5 (+) 500 (-) 15 (-)7(+) 30 (+) 100 (+) 500 (-) 802.11 b w/RTS 0.163953.11871308 16 (+) 3 (+) 30 (+) 100 (+) 500 (-) 802.11 b w/RTS 0.07568 4.98781013 (+) 802.11 b w/out RTS 17 (-)7(-) 5(-) 10 (-) 100 0.715680.865711017 (+) 802.11 b w/out RTS 1.27659734 18 (+) 3 (-) 5 (-)10 (-)1000.11592(+) 802.11 b w/out RTS 19 (-)7(+) 30 (-) 10 (-) 100 0.585680.9923993 20 (+) 3 (+) 30(-)(-) 100 (+) 802.11 b w/out RTS 0.257762.13651797 10 21 (+) 802.11 b w/out RTS 0.724840.76839629 (-)7(-) 5 (+)20 (-) 100 22 (+) 3 (-) 5 (+)20 (-) 100 (+) 802.11 b w/out RTS 0.170761.41365995 23 (+) 30(+) 802.11 b w/out RTS 0.5630.96332324 (-)7(+)20 (-)10024 (+) 802.11 b w/out RTS 0.245842.19733746 (+) 3 (+) 30 (+) 20 (-)10025 (-)7(+) 802.11 b w/out RTS 0.395968 1.49277102 (-) 5 (-)50 (+) 500 26(+) 3 (-) 5(+) 500 (+) 802.11 b w/out RTS 0.092656 0.78984261(-)50 27 (-)7(+) 30(-) 50 (+) 500(+) 802.11 b w/out RTS 0.2715042.07584805 28 (+) 500 (+) 802.11 b w/out RTS 0.08344 3.28247314 (+) 3 (+) 30 (-) 50 29 (+) 100 (+) 500 (+) 802.11 b w/out RTS 0.3308245.25921359 (-)7(-) 530 (+) 3 (-) 5 (+) 100 (+) 500 (+) 802.11 b w/out RTS 0.099736 1.04019082 31 (-)7(+) 30 (+) 100 (+) 500 (+) 802.11 b w/out RTS 0.169523.11871308 32 (+) 3 (+) 30 (+) 100 (+) 500 (+) 802.11 b w/out RTS 0.07568 4.98781013

Cln.ufpe.





(a) Packet Delivery Ratio

(b) End-to-end Delay





56 Cln.ufpe. br



- If each of the 2^k experiments is repeated r times.
- Makes possible, estimate experimental errors through repetitions.





Mean y	y	AB	В	A	I
15	(15, 18, 12)	1	-1	-1	1
48	(45, 48, 51)	-1	-1	1	1
24	(25, 28, 19)	-1	1	-1	1
77	(75, 75, 81)	1	1	1	1
Total		20	38	86	164
Total/4		5	9.5	21.5	41

TABLE 18.1 Analysis of a 2²3 Design

2^kr Factorial Designs Estimation of Experimental Errors

TABLE 18.2 Computation of Errors in Example 18.2

	Effect		Estimated Response,	N	Aeasure Respons	ed es		Errors	ſ		
	<i>I A B AB</i> <i>i</i> 41 21.5 9.5 5	I A B AB		B AB —							
i		9i	y _{ii}	<i>y</i> ₁₂	Ув	e _{il}	e _{i2}	eB			
1	1	-1	-1	1	15	15	18	12	0	3	-3
2	1	1	-1	-1	48	45	48	51	-3	0	3
3	1	-1	1	-1	24	25	28	19	1	4	-5
4	1	1	1	1	77	75	75	81	-2	-2	4



Allocation of Variation

- SSE is the variation attributed to the experimental errors.
- Designate the variance of each term.

$$\sum_{i,j} (y_{ij} - \overline{y}_{_{-}})^2 = 2^2 r q_A^2 + 2^2 r q_B^2 + 2^2 r q_{AB}^2 + \sum_{i,j} e_{ij}^2$$

SST = SSA + SSB + SSAB + SSE



Confidence Intervals for Effect

Confidence Interval For the Effects

The confidence intervals for the effects can be computed if the variance of the sample estimates are known.

Standard Deviation of Errors
$$s_e^2 = \frac{SSE}{2^2(r-1)}$$
 $s_e = \sqrt{\frac{SSE}{2^2(r-1)}}$ Standard Deviation of effects $s_{q_0} = s_{q_A} = s_{q_B} = s_{q_{AB}} = \frac{s_e}{\sqrt{2^2 r}}$ Confidence Interval For the Effects $q_i \mp t_{[1-\alpha/2;2^2(r-1)]}s_{q_i}$



APPLICATION OF DESIGN OF EXPERIMENTS ON THE SIMULATION OF A PROCESS IN AN AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY

José Arnaldo Barra Montevechi

Avenida BPS, 1303 – Caixa Postal: 50 Instituto de Engenharia de Produção e Gestão Universidade Federal de Itajubá Itajubá, MG, 37500-903, BRAZIL Alexandre Ferreira de Pinho Fabiano Leal Fernando Augusto Silva Marins

Av. Dr. Ariberto Pereira da Cunha, 333 Universidade Estadual Paulista Guaratiguetá, SP, 12.516-410, BRAZIL

> 62 Cln.ufpe. br



Table 3: Experimental conditions							
Factors	Level +	Level -					
A: Buffer Capacity (units)	60	100					
B: Number of tables (units)	4	8					
C: Pre-plug operat- ing time (min/part)	0,6	0,9					
D: Table time of operation (min/part)	N(6,35; 0,66)	N(7,35; 0,66)					

Table 4: Experimental matrix

	Α	B	C	D	r1	12	r3	Média	S
1	41	-	-	-	303	299	300	300,67	4,33
2	+				304	298	300	300,67	9,33
3		+			304	298	299	300,33	10,33
4	+	+	-		304	298	299	300,33	10,33
5	-	-	+	5 .	301	297	299	299,00	4,00
6	+	-	+	-	301	297	298	298,67	4,33
7	-	+	+	-	511	512	511	511,33	0,33
8	+	+	+	-	538	538	538	538,00	0,00
9		-		+	261	259	259	259,67	1,33
10	+		-	+	261	261	260	260,67	0,33
11	-	+		+	435	436	434	435,00	1,00
12	+	+	-	+	514	509	518	513,67	20,33
13	-	-	+	+	261	260	258	259,67	2,33
14	+		+	+	261	260	258	259,67	2,33
15		+	+	+	488	487	491	488,67	4,33
16	+	+	+	+	510	507	515	510,67	16,33
				10.000	0.000		Total	5836 67	91,33





Figure 4: Graph of the main effects

64 Cln.ufpe. br

2^(k-p) Fractional Factorial Designs

• Aiming at:

- $\circ~$ reducing the number of replications
- Nevertheless:
 - it is possible that some combinations of factors were not possible
- \circ **2^{k-1}: half replication**
- 2^{k-2}: one-quarter replication





66 Cln.ufpe. br



Factor	Level-1	Level 1	
Memory size, A	4 Mbytes	16 Mbytes	
Cache size, B	1 kbyte	2 kbytes	
Number of processors, C	1	2	



	4 M	bytes	16 Mbytes			
Cache Size (kbytes)	One Processor	Two Processor	One Processor	Two Processor		
1	14	46	22	58		
2	10	50	34	86		



1	А	В	С	AB	AC	BC	ABC	у
1	-1	-1	-1	1	1	1	-1	14
1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1	22
1	-1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	10
1	1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	34
1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	46
1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	58
1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	50
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	86
320	80	40	160	40	16	24	9	Total
40	10	5	20	5	2	3	1	Total/8

800/4512 (18%), 200/4512 (4%), 3200/4512 (71%), 200/4512 (4%), 32/4512 (1%), 72/4512 (2%), and 8/4512 (0%), respectively.



- Jain, Raj. *The art of computer systems performance analysis*. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- Montgomery, Douglas C., and George C. Runger. Applied statistics and probability for engineers. John Wiley & Sons, 2010.
- Totaro, Michael W., and Dmitri D. Perkins. "Using statistical design of experiments for analyzing mobile ad hoc networks." *Proceedings of the 8th ACM international symposium on Modeling, analysis and simulation of wireless and mobile systems*. ACM, 2005.
- Montevechi, José Arnaldo Barra, et al. "Application of design of experiments on the simulation of a
 process in an automotive industry." *Proceedings of the 39th conference on Winter simulation: 40 years! The best is yet to come*. IEEE Press, 2007.
- Litvinski, Oleg, and Abdelouahed Gherbi. "Openstack scheduler evaluation using design of experiment approach." *Object/Component/Service-Oriented Real-Time Distributed Computing (ISORC), 2013 IEEE* 16th International Symposium on. IEEE, 2013.